#### WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

### **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

		Juan A	Antonio Ruiz	Case Number:	13-1638M		
	ordance tablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
$\boxtimes$	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pendir trial in this case.					
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendan	· ·	deral offense)(state or local offense that would risdiction had existed) that is		
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximu	ım sentence is life imprisonn	nent or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ter	years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)	r the defendant had been cc (1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are defin- register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ed in section 921), or any oth	possession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on relepending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
	(3)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(rel of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	reason	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant ha rebutted this presumption.				
			Alt	ernative Findings			
$\boxtimes$	(1)	18 U.S	.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probab	le cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of impand 841(a)(1).	orisonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 952, 960, 963		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	), or 2332(b).			
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for	which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.		
			an offense involving a minor vict	im under section	2		
$\boxtimes$	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the propose will reasonably assure the app	esumption established by find becarance of the defendant a	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

### Case 2:13-mj-01638-JFM Document 8 Filed 05/24/13 Page 2 of 3

ΔItΔ	rnativ	Δ Finα	annir!
	ıııatıv		มแนง

(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
(4)			
(1)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing		
1)	evidence as to danger that:		
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
X	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
×	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum ofi.		
_			

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

# Case 2:13-mj-01638-JFM Document 8 Filed 05/24/13 Page 3 of 3

	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal. of the U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court inited States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the lant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. For service of services of the contract	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date ce of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial s sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and ate the potential third party custodian.
DATE	: May 24, 2013  James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge